1 2 3 4 5	KENT M. ROGER, CA Bar No. 95987 HERMAN J. HOYING, CA Bar No. 257495 MINNA L. NARANJO, CA Bar No. 259005 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, California 94105-1126 Telephone: 415.442.1000 Facsimile: 415.442.1001 E-mail: kroger@morganlewis.com hhoying@morganlewis.com		
6	mnaranjo@morganlewis.com		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD., HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.		
9			
10	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DIS SAN FRANCISO		
12			
13	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION		
14	This Document Relates to:		
15	Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc. v. AU	MDL File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI	
16	Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 09-	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING FACT	
17	cv-5609	DISCOVERY CUTOFF DATE FOR	
18	Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-4572	HITACHI, LTD. AND HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.'s 30(b)(6)	
19	Costco Wholesale Corporation v. AU	DEPOSITION(S) AND RESPONSES TO NOKIA'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS	
20	Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 11-cv-0058	FOR ADMISSION	
21	C1 0020		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	- 1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING D	
	DB2/ 22819076.3	OF DISCOVERY CUTOFF FOR HITACHI 30	

1	Plaintiffs Nokia Corporation, Nokia Inc., Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing		
2	LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc., and		
3	Costco Wholesale Corp. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd. and		
4	Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows:		
5	<b>STIPULATION</b>		
6	WHEREAS fact discovery closes in the above-captioned matters on December 8,		
7	2011, as set forth in the Stipulation and Order Modifying Pretrial Schedule for "Track One"		
8	Direct Action Plaintiff and State Attorney General Actions (Dkt. No. 3110, the "Scheduling		
9	Order");		
10	WHEREAS Plaintiffs served the Notice of Deposition of Hitachi, Ltd. and Hitachi		
11	Displays, Ltd.'s pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) ("Notice") on		
12	November 17, 2011, scheduling the deposition(s) to take place on December 6, 2011;		
13	WHEREAS the Hitachi Defendants intend to serve objections to the Notice on		
14	December 15, 2011, and thereafter Plaintiffs and the Hitachi Defendants intend to meet and		
15	confer in good faith regarding the proposed deposition topics;		
16	WHEREAS Plaintiffs and the Hitachi Defendants have conferred regarding the		
17	schedule set forth in the Scheduling Order and do not believe that the current schedule		
18	allows for sufficient time to meet and confer regarding the proposed deposition topics and		
19	to make witnesses available for deposition on the agreed upon topics;		
20	WHEREAS Plaintiffs and the Hitachi Defendants agree to an extension of the close		
21	of fact discovery until the end of January 2012 for the limited purpose of allowing		
22	sufficient time for Plaintiffs to take the noticed 30(b)(6) deposition(s) of Hitachi, Ltd. and		
23	Hitachi Displays, Ltd.;		
24	WHEREAS Nokia Corporation. and Nokia Inc. served its First Set of Requests for		
25	Admission ("Requests") on the Hitachi Defendants on November 8, 2011;		
26	WHEREAS Nokia Corporation, Nokia Inc. and the Hitachi Defendants have		
27	conferred regarding the schedule set forth under the Federal Rules and have agreed to an		
28	PD0/000/007/0		

1	extension of the close of fact discovery until December 23, 2011 for the limited purpose of		
2	allowing the Hitachi Defendants to respond to the Requests;		
3	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and the Hitachi Defendants, through their		
4	undersigned respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:		
5	The fact discovery cutoff date of December 8, 2011 in the above-captioned matters,		
6	as set forth in the Scheduling Order, is extended solely as to the noticed 30(b)(6)		
7	deposition(s) of Hitachi, Ltd. and Hitachi Displays, Ltd., up to and including January 31,		
8	2012.		
9	The fact discovery cutoff date of December 8, 2011 in Nokia Corporation and		
10	Nokia Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 09-cv-5609, as set forth in the		
11	Scheduling Order, is extended solely as to the Hitachi Defendants' responses to Nokia		
12	Corporation and Nokia Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Admission, up to and including		
13	December 23, 2011.		
14			
15	DATED: December 7, 2011.	/s/ Kent M. Roger	
16		Kent M. Roger (CA Bar No. 95987) Herman J. Hoying (CA Bar No. 257495)	
17		MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower	
18		San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 (415) 442-1000 (Phone)	
19		(415) 442-1001 (Facsimile) kroger@morganlewis.com	
20		hhoying@morganlewis.com	
21		Counsel for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays,	
22		Ltd. and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	DB2/ 22819076.3	- 3 -	
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFY	

1		// Walania C. Williams
2		/s/ Valarie C. Williams Valarie C. Williams (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
3		Donald M. Houser (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) ALSTON + BIRD LLP
		1201 West Peachtree Street
4		Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 881-7000 (Phone)
5		(404) 881-7777 (Facsimile)
6		Counsel for Plaintiffs Nokia Corporation and Nokia Inc.
7		/s/ Roman M. Silberfeld
8		Roman M. Silberfeld (CA Bar No. 62783)
0		David Martinez (CA Bar No. 193183) ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P
9		2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400
10		Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208 (310) 552-0130 (Phone)
11		(310) 229-5800 (Facsimile)
11		RMSilberfeld@rkmc.com
12		DMartinez@rkmc.com
13		Counsel for Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy
1.4		Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best
14		Buy Stores, L.P., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc.
15		/s/ David J. Burman
16		David J. Burman (admitted pro hac vice)
10		Cori G. Moore (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) PERKINS COIE L.L.P
17		Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
18		San Francisco, CA 94111
		(415) 344-7000 (Phone) (415) 344-7302 (Facsimile)
19		DBurman@perkinscoie.com
20		CGMoore@perkinscoie.com
21		Counsel for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation
22		
23	<b>ATTESTATION</b> : Pursuant to N	J.D. Cal. General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that
24	concurrence in the filing of this d	ocument has been obtained from each signatory hereto.
25		
26	Dated: December 7, 2011	By:/s/ Kent M. Roger
		Kent M. Roger
27		
28	DB2/ 22819076.3	- 4 -
		STIPLILATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2		10/10/11	Suran Illaton
3	Dated Entered:		The Honorable Susan Illston
4			District Court Judge
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	DB2/22819076.3		- 5 -